

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,198	09/17/2003	Martin A. Afromowitz		8791
Clark A. Punti	7590 02/09/200 ¹	EXAMINER		
JENSEN & PUNTIGAM, P.S. 2033 Sixth Ave., #1020 Seattle, WA 98121			WALKE, AMANDA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1752	
	-			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	and the second s	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/666,198	AFROMOWITZ, N	MARTIN A.		
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amanda C. Walke	1752			
	DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	idress		
Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER IS LOI - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the O	NGER, FROM THE MAIL available under the provisions of 3 in the mailing date of this communic ecified above, the maximum statuto et or extended period for reply will,	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. The properties of the second will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE the mailing date of this communication, even if	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company of			
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed o	on <i>27 July 2006</i> .				
2a)⊠ This action is F		This action is non-final.				
•		under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	·			
				Ÿ		
Disposition of Claims	* - *	÷				
	<u>and 6</u> is/are pending in t	• •		•		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,5 ar</u>		_				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			
	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification	n is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
· ·		accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
		n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar				
	•	correction is required if the drawing	. *	FR 1.121(d).		
_	•	the Examiner. Note the attached				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	8 110	*	•			
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	me * c) None of:	numanta have been assessed				
	• •	cuments have been received.	nalication No			
		cuments have been received in A		Stone		
	•	he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National	Sidy t		
	•	or a list of the certified copies not	received			
		or a list of the certified copies flot	received.	. ·		
•		•				
1	en en en alle de l'artimet a l'elle. L'institution		•			
Attachment(s)	*		χ.			
) Notice of References Cit			Summary (PTO-413)			
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _		6) Other:				
	tatement(s) (PTO/SB/08)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Interpretations

It is noted by the examiner that the instant claim 1 is a product by process claim. Therefore, for purposes of examination, the claim is interpreted as a product having a three dimensional structure and a continuously varying thickness and a smoothly topography. The materials falling within the scope of the instant claim 1 are any material; metal, any polymer, etc. The product by process claim simply requires a layer, and is not limited to any type of material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminsky et al (2004/0081799).

Kaminsky et al disclose a three dimensional material comprising a substrate having thereon a polymer layer of a thickness of 25-150 microns thick ([0073]) having a smoothly varying topography. The difference between the peaks and valley heights is preferably less than 10 microns. When the material is 150 microns thick, it will be at least 100 microns in thickness, even at its thinnest points. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of the reference choosing to employ a thickness falling within the instant claim limitations. The resultant material would meet the limitations of the instant claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,198 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 3, 5, and 6 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter as the prior art of record fails to teach the instantly claimed material having a layer of a smoothly varying thickness of no less than 100 microns.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C. Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lylery, FAH.

31. 710 Car 2 miles

Amanda C Walke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

ACW October 16, 2006

AMANDA WALKE

DRIMARY EXAMINER

10/14/00

Page 4